United States Patent Application

SUBSTITUTE COMBINED REISSUE DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: <u>APPARATUS FOR TRANSMITTING AND RECEIVING EXECUTABLE APPLICATIONS AS FOR A MULTIMEDIA SYSTEM, AND METHOD AND SYSTEM TO ORDER AN ITEM USING A DISTRIBUTED COMPUTING SYSTEM.</u>

the specification of which was filed on July 10, 2001 as application serial no. 09/903,457.

I believe original U.S. patent no. 5,819.034 to be wholly or partly inoperative by reason of my claiming less than I had the right to claim in the patent. Specifically, the patent discloses a method and system that, stated generally, uses a client system to facilitate the determining of an item identity for an item to which an order request pertains, automatically retrieving personal information previously stored in a permanent memory in the client system, and causing an order to be placed. This invention is distinct from the invention claimed in the original patent: and is not claimed in the patent. This error is addressed in this reissue by including claims directed to methods of, and systems for, facilitating ordering an item, where the order includes the item identity and the retrieved previously stored personal information. In particular, the error is addressed by the presentation of claims 165, 218, 252, 260, and their respective dependent claims, drawn to this previously unclaimed invention.

The error arose without any deceptive intention on my part. The error arose during the drafting of the application and during subsequent amendments in connection with the prosecution of the application which resulted in the issuance of the original patent. The error occurred as a result of the attorney prosecuting the application and I failing to appreciate the scope of the invention and/or to properly identify the invention(s). The error was discovered subsequent to issuance of the original patent during a review of the original patent by the assignee and/or its representatives. I further acknowledge my duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of the application under 37 CFR § 1.56.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(e) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Number

Filing Date

Status

09/672,523

September 27, 2000

Customer Number: 44367

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Schwegman, Lundberg, & Woessner, P.A. at the address indicated below:

belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false

Customer Number: 44367

Thereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and

ull Name of joint inventor itizenship: ost Office Address:	number 1: Kuriacose Joseph United States of America 16124 Orchard Grove Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878	Residence: Galthersburg, MD
ignature:		Date:
1	Kuriacose Joseph	
ull Name of joint inventor itizenship; ost Office Address;	number 2: <u>Ansley Wayne Jessup</u> United States of America 22 Elmwood Lane	Residence: Willingboro, NJ
	Willingboro, NJ 08046	Date: april 27, 2009
		Date: April 27, 2009
ignature:	Justey Wagne Jessen Justey Wagne Jessen Junumber 3: <u>Vincent Dureau</u>	·
ignature: <u>AMSL</u>	Pr Wayne Jessen	Pate: April 27, 2009 Residence: Palo Alto. CA
ignature:	number 3: Vincent Dureau	·

statements may jeonardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	number 4: <u>Alain Delpuch</u> France 36 ruc Le Brun Paris, 75013 France	Residence: Paris, France
Signature:A	lain Delpuch	Date:

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to discoles information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of at claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration end not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to discoles all information known to be material to patentability of any claim consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any claim consideration in the application is not material. The duty to discoles all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by \$1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which futual on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

United States Patent Application SUBSTITUTE COMBINED REISSUE DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name: that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: APPARATUS FOR TRANSMITTING AND RECEIVING EXECUTABLE APPLICATIONS AS FOR A MULTIMEDIA SYSTEM, AND METHOD AND SYSTEM TO ORDER AN ITEM USING A DISTRIBUTED COMPUTING SYSTEM.

the specification of which was filed on July 10, 2001 as application serial no. 09/903,457.

I believe original U.S. patent no. 5,819,034 to be wholly or partly inoperative by reason of my claiming less than I had the right to claim in the patent. Specifically, the patent discloses a method and system that, stated generally, uses a client system to facilitate the determining of an item identity for an item to which an order request pertains, automatically retrieving personal information previously stored in a permanent memory in the client system, and causing an order to be placed. This invention is distinct from the invention claimed in the original patent; and is not claimed in the patent. This error is addressed in this reissue by including claims directed to methods of, and systems for, facilitating ordering an item, where the order includes the item identity and the retrieved previously stored personal information. In particular, the error is addressed by the presentation of claims 165, 218, 252, 260, and their respective dependent claims. drawn to this previously unclaimed invention.

The error arose without any deceptive intention on my part. The error arose during the drafting of the application and during subsequent amendments in connection with the prosecution of the application which resulted in the issuance of the original patent. The error occurred as a result of the attorney prosecuting the application and I failing to appreciate the scope of the invention and/or to properly identify the invention(s). The error was discovered subsequent to issuance of the original patent during a review of the original patent by the assignee and/or its representatives. I further acknowledge my duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of the application under 37 CFR § 1.56.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112.1 acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Number

Filing Date

Status

09/672,523

September 27, 2000

Customer Number: 44367

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Schwegman, Lundberg, & Woessner, P.A. at the address indicated below:

Customer Number: 44367

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false

Citizenship: Post Office Address:	number 1: <u>Kuriacose Joseph</u> United States of America 16124 Orchard Grove Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878	Residence: Gaithersburg, MD
k	Kuriacose Joseph	
Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	number 2: <u>Ansley Wayne Jessup</u> United States of America 22 Elmwood Lane	Residence: Willingboro, NJ
	Willingboro, NJ 08046	
Signature:		Date:
,	Ansley Wayne Jessup	
Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship:	number 3: Vincent Dureau France	Residence: Palo Alto, CA
Post Office Address:	3519 S. Court Palo Alto, CA 94306	·
Signature:		Date:
,	/incent Dureau	

statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor num Citizenship: Post Office Address:	ber 4: <u>Alain Delpuch</u> France 36 rue Le Brun Paris, 75013 France	Residence: Paris, France	
Signature:Alain	Delpuch	Date:	

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is sware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good finith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration or the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by \$\$1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

United States Patent Application SUBSTITUTE COMBINED REISSUE DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name: that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: <u>APPARATUS FOR TRANSMITTING AND RECEIVING EXECUTABLE APPLICATIONS AS FOR A MULTIMEDIA SYSTEM, AND METHOD AND SYSTEM TO ORDER AN ITEM USING A DISTRIBUTED COMPUTING SYSTEM.</u>

the specification of which was filed on July 10, 2001 as application serial no. 09/903,457.

I believe original U.S. patent no. 5.819,034 to be wholly or partly inoperative by reason of my claiming less than I had the right to claim in the patent. Specifically, the patent discloses a method and system that, stated generally, uses a client system to facilitate the determining of an item identity for an item to which an order request pertains, automatically retrieving personal information previously stored in a permanent memory in the client system, and causing an order to be placed. This invention is distinct from the invention claimed in the original patent; and is not claimed in the patent. This error is addressed in this reissue by including claims directed to methods of, and systems for, facilitating ordering an item, where the order includes the item identity and the retrieved previously stored personal information. In particular, the error is addressed by the presentation of claims 165, 218, 252, 260, and their respective dependent claims, drawn to this previously unclaimed invention.

The error arose without any deceptive intention on my part. The error arose during the drafting of the application and during subsequent amendments in connection with the prosecution of the application which resulted in the issuance of the original patent. The error occurred as a result of the attorney prosecuting the application and I failing to appreciate the scope of the invention and/or to properly identify the invention(s). The error was discovered subsequent to issuance of the original patent during a review of the original patent by the assignee and/or its representatives. I further acknowledge my duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of the application under 37 CFR § 1.56.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(c).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(e) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112,1 acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Number

Filing Date

Status

09/672,523

September 27, 2000

Customer Number: 44367

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attomey/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Schwegman, Lundberg, & Woessner, P.A. at the address indicated below:

belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false

Customer Number: 44367

Thereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and

citizenship: lost Office Address:	number 1: <u>Kuriacose Joseph</u> United States of America 16124 Orchard Grove Road Guithersburg, MD 20878	Residence: Gaithersburg, MD
ignature:	Kurincose Joseph	Date:
full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Ost Office Address:	number 2: <u>Ansley Wayne Jessup</u> United States of America 22 Elmwood Lane Willingboro, NI 08046	Residence: Willingboro, NJ
lignature:	Ansley Wayne Jessup	Date:
full Name of joint inventor	r number 3: <u>Vincent Dureau</u> France	Residence: Palo Alto, CA

Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	number 4: <u>Alain Delpuch</u> France 36 rue Le Brun Paris, 75013 France	Residence: Paris, France
Signature:A	lain Delpuch	Date:

- § 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.
- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration net on the submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by \$\$1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of impatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

United States Patent Application

SUBSTITUTE COMBINED REISSUE DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: <u>APPARATUS FOR TRANSMITTING AND RECEIVING EXECUTABLE APPLICATIONS AS FOR A MULTIMEDIA SYSTEM, AND METHOD AND SYSTEM TO ORDER AN ITEM USING A DISTRIBUTED COMPUTING SYSTEM.</u>

the specification of which was filed on July 10, 2001 as application serial no. 09/903.457.

I believe original U.S., patent no. 5,819,034 to be wholly or partly inoperative by reason of my claiming less than I had the right to claim in the patent. Specifically, the patent discloses a method and system that, stated generally, uses a client system to facilitate the determining of an item identity for an item to which an order request pertains, automatically retrieving personal information previously stored in a permanent memory in the client system, and causing an order to be placed. This invention is distinct from the invention claimed in the original patent: and is not claimed in the patent. This error is addressed in this reissue by including claims directed to methods of, and systems for, facilitating ordering an item, where the order includes the item identity and the retrieved previously stored personal information. In particular, the error is addressed by the presentation of claims 165, 218, 252, 260, and their respective dependent claims, drawn to this previously unclaimed invention.

The error arose without any deceptive intention on my part. The error arose during the drafting of the application and during subsequent amendments in connection with the prosecution of the application which resulted in the issuance of the original patent. The error occurred as a result of the attorney prosecuting the application and I failing to appreciate the scope of the invention and/or to properly identify the invention(s). The error was discovered subsequent to issuance of the original patent during a review of the original patent by the assignee and/or its representatives. I further acknowledge my duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of the application under 37 CFR § 1.56.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. \S 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filling date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filling date of this application.

Application Number

Filing Date

Status

09/672,523

September 27, 2000

Customer Number: 44367

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Schwegman, Lundberg, & Woessner, P.A. at the address indicated below:

Customer Number: 44367

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true: and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like of made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false

Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	number 1: <u>Kuriacose Joseph</u> United States of America 16124 Orchard Grove Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878	Residence: Gaithersburg, MD	
Signature:	Luriacose Joseph	Date:	
, in	Kunacose Joseph		_
Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	number 2: <u>Ansley Wayne Jessup</u> United States of America 22 Elmwood Lane Willingboro, NJ 08046	Residence: Willingboro, NJ	
Signature:		Date:	
,	Ansley Wayne Jessup		_
Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship:	number 3: <u>Vincent Dureau</u>	Residence: Palo Alto, CA	
Post Office Address:	3519 S. Court		
	Palo Alto, CA 94306		
Signature:		Date:	
,	Vincent Dureau		

statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inv Citizenship: Post Office Address:	entor number 4: <u>Alain Delpuch</u> France 36 rue Le Brun	Residence: Paris, France
Signature:	Paris, 75013 france	Date: April 21. + 200.9

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and god right in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any claim issued in a patent was clied by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by \$1.97(b) (and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office cncourages applicants to
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facic case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office. or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burdeno-fproof standard, giving each term in the claim is broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosccutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.